EXHIBIT C

С	ase 1:15-cr-00637-KAM Documer	nt 90-3 Filed 09/30/16 Page 2 of 4 PageID #: 757
ļ		1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	15-CR-637 (KAM)
4	Plaintiff,	United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York
5	-against-	June 6, 2016
6	12:45 p.m. MARTIN SHKRELI and EVAN GREEBEL,	
7	Defendants.	
8		x
9	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR	
10	STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE KIYO A. MATSUMOTO	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12	APPEARANCES For the Government:	ROBERT L. CAPERS, ESO.
13		United States Attorney Eastern District of New York
14		271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201
15		BY: WINSTON M. PAES, AUSA ALIXANDRA E. SMITH, AUSA
		JACQUELYN KASULIS, AUSA
16	For Defendant Shkreli:	BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
17		BY: BENJAMIN BRAFMAN, ESQ. MARC AGNIFILO, ESQ.
18		ANDREA ZELLAN, ESQ.
19	For Defendant Greebel:	GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP BY: JOEL M. COHEN, ESQ.
20		LISA H. RUBIN, ESQ. REED BRODSKY, ESQ.
21	Court Reporter:	Georgette K. Betts, RPR, CSR, OCR
22	Court Reporter.	Phone: (718)804-2777 Fax: (718)804-2795
23		Email: Georgetteb25@gmail.com
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.	
25		

1.5

there are a number of outstanding subpoenas that have not yet been returned and none of us can anticipate how that will impact the magnitude of the discovery that's already involved in the case.

Mr. Paes is correct, there are millions of pages that have been produced in this case. To us, that's significant. In fact, the volume, in our view, is staggering. There are nearly 3 million pages. On Friday afternoon we received 800 new pages from the Katten Muchin firm. Your Honor may know that Mr. Greebel was a former partner at Katten Muchin during many of the times and events alleged in the indictment. We understand from the government that Katten Muchin will be making a further production on that subpoena. The contents and the volume of that production, we cannot know.

Your Honor, with respect to the Marcum laptop, we appreciate that the government has continued to engage in conversations with us and with Marcum about the contours of a protective order, and we're not yet there yet. We're continuing to have productive conversations, but we don't know what's on that laptop, Your Honor. It's our understanding that they contain final workpapers put into electronic binders. We were very relieved to understand this week for the first time, according to Marcum's counsel, that all the documents will be able to be transferred over to electronic

discovery platforms or other computers, so we would be able to see them in a format that's intelligible to us, but notwithstanding that, Your Honor, we don't know the size of that production, we don't know what's on that laptop.

We also understand from Marcum's counsel that they have yet to make yet another production to the government of additional draft workpapers including emails. They have not made that production to the government yet, according to a call that we had last week.

And, Your Honor, beyond those two additional sources, we further understand from the government, which confirmed this to us last week in a phone call preceding this conference, that there are still more outstanding subpoenas. I don't believe even the government can anticipate what the volume will be and what the timing will be on the return of those documents. And because of that we don't believe we're in a position today to either set a trial date or motion practice.

Mr. Brafman referred to a potential severance motion -- I apologize for the feedback. That potential severance motion will not only be impacted by our digestion of the superceding indictment, but also by our review of the discovery, including that discovery that's still outstanding.

So, Your Honor, for those reasons we would ask that you return to the plan that we believe we all agreed to at the